	1000	
File With		The state of the s
HIE VVIIII		
1100	the second	D. T. C. Control

## SECTION 131 FORM

SEO	<u>45</u> 824		
ving considered the conte	nts of the submission date	$d$ /received: $\frac{22/13}{2}$	The state of the s
m.	THE STATE OF THE S	on 131 of the Planning and I	nevelopment Act, 200
:O: Red Feed		Date: 12 /c	
To EO:	10 (10 m)		
Saction 131 not to be invo	oked at this stage.		
14.5次16.45次,在中国企业的企业的编码。2016年16.4次16.4次16.4次16.4次16.4次16.4次16.4次16.4次	i – allow 2/4 weeks for re	oly. C	
s.E.O;		Date:	
S.A.O:		Date! <u></u>	
M			
Please prepare BP submission		notice enclosing a copy o	if the attached
/to:			
· 医致性性 医生物 化二甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基		Date:	
Allow 2/4weeks — BP			A contraction of the second section of

	File with
410 114	VER FORM.
Received: 22/12/15	Date An pool 1
	Date Last Appeal Lodged:
	Date of E.I.S. Publication:
Name of the state	
Name: Teresa Flynn	
Address /Agent: Horse shop ()	ottogo Fananmorcen
The state of the s	miskare West look !
- catas ilivalid – (insert reason):	
VALID M: <u>(</u>	INVALID
Acknowledge with BP	1: RETURN TO SENDER with BP
Keep copy of Board's letter	2. Keep envelope
Prepare refund form	3. Keep copy of Board's letter
An and the management with the state of the management of the state of	4. Prepare refund form
attach to file	
U) Screening	rie Int. Transa desalt de decembran falles l'accant alles sectiones de la contraction de l'accante de l'acc
) GIS Processing (e) Inspectorate	RETURN TO EO
) Processing ( Z	
1 Land Francisco	
ite: 22/1)/12	AA: SON SWOTE
omments:	21/01/16



Horseshoe Cottage Farranmareen Castletown Kenniegh Enniskeane West Cork

18/12/2015

Dear Sir / Madame

Ref Planning 14/06760 – 6 Wind Turbine Development

To: Barna Wind Energy Ltd, Co Fehilly Timoney and Co. Core House, Pouladuff Road, Cork

At: Lackareagh and Garranereagh, Lissarda and Barnadivane (Kneeves) Terelton Co Cork.

I would like to raise an objection fro the following planning reasons.

I have read the numerous reports regarding the planning and have come to the conclusion that this plan will without doubt be of a significant visual impact ....

Firstly, in terms of the visual impact it clearly states in several instances in both the primary and the further reports that they cannot be sure of the real levels of impact due to bad photomontages and despite these being resubmitted it appears they are very contradictory with regards to allocating the levels of impact .... Stating low levels where they can only surmise they will see just the tops of the proposed turbines and again low when they surmise they would see the existing 4 turbines AND the planned 6 turbines ... how can this be? Surely the impact is a lot more substantial where more can be seen?

Secondly and most disturbing the point regards the noise levels. This cannot in anyway be confirmed as the model of turbine has not yet been clarified and with a request for a 10 year planning I would imagine this will never be confirmed as over the years the models will change as would the noise output. This in an area where noise barely exists would be huge... there is already has already been a very noticeable impact from the existing wind farm which I feel has not been taken adequately into account along with the other planning application for a huge sub station adjacent to the proposed wind farm and the already existing turbines.

I also feel that the mitigation measures is purely a phrase to basically say we will do something IF it is an issue once they are erected ...... this is not sufficient as by then it will be too late for anything to be done and local residents will have to put up and shut up as is always the case with the wind farms..... This is nothing new but I would like to think that An Bord Plenala would look beyond the words and address the facts before allowing this further wind farm to be erected in this area.

AN BORD PLEANÁLA
TIME BY POST

2 2 DEC 2015

LTR-DATED 18 12 FROM RK
PL

I currently reside 2 miles from the existing wind farm and on a considerable number of occasions since it's construction have found myself living next to what can only be described as similar to a runway at an airport, what will it be like with 6 larger turbines (who's noise impact has yet to be quantified) and a sub station next door to them.

I am not going to bore you further with all the other points I have found in the reports that do not stack up as I am sure you have read numerous objection letters very similar to mine .....

I would ask you not for my own sake but for everyone in the area to assess this plan very carefully and while I assume you cannot review it in relation to the wind farm that exists and the substation I feel I need to make the point that all of these together will be a major impact to the area in every way.

Please find enclosed a cheque for the appropriate fee.

Regards

Teresa Flynn

AN BORD PLEANÁLA
TIME BY

2 2 DEC 2015

LTR-DATED FROM
PL